

Law Firm Management for Peak Performance

Many law firms have been implementing new practice group structures—or have made significant changes to their existing structures—in recent years. While one managing partner told us, "Practice group management is the latest consulting trick to sell," most medium and large firms have come to understand that centralized firm management alone is not the most effective way to manage the multi-million dollar businesses that law firms have become.

If your firm does believe that strong practice group management is important, how can you achieve it? Practice group management requires several key elements to succeed, each of which will be addressed below.

Strategy

Many firms today have had limited success implementing practice group management because they did not start with a clear vision for the firm or a strategy that set forth the goals and objectives they were trying to accomplish. Without a firm strategy that differentiates the firm from many other similar firms, a practice group structure and effective management can go only so far. The buggy whip manufacturers of years ago may have managed their companies well, but they lacked a strategy that reflected market changes, namely, changing modes of transportation.

In most cases, a firm that does not have a clear strategy will not be hurt if it implements a stronger practice group structure. However, it will not enable your firm to achieve the real goals of practice management. Practice management is not a magic bullet or panacea that can substitute for a strategy.

If a firm has a clear strategy, and is striving for a definable position in the marketplace, then practice group management is often one of the keys to achieving the strategy. There are three overall objectives of practice group management:

To help the firm implement its strategy,

To build firm competitiveness, and

To manage the work, the people and the clients.

Each of these can be achieved if you implement all the key elements required for effective practice management.

Compensation System

The second element critical to successful practice group management is compensation. One psychologist we work with in our leadership training says the research shows that despite the articulated goals of your strategic plan, if there is any disconnect (stressing any disconnect) between the plan and your compensation system, your compensation system is in actuality your firm's strategic "plan." The point is, if you hope to implement strong practice group management, then your compensation system must be aligned to support strong practice management.

This includes several components. First, the compensation system must value non-billable or "investment" time for activities that are necessary for strong practice groups, including practice group business plans. This includes valuing the effort and time that Practice Group Leaders and other lawyers devote to their practice groups. The valuing of investment time occurs in two ways:

There must be significant compensation to motivate and reward the Practice Group Leaders (PGLs) for spending significant time performing their roles. While the time demands of PGLs will vary by the maturity and competitiveness of the market, the size of the group and the "personality" issues in the group, most PGLs in large firms spend between 400 hours and half of their working time (total billable and non-billable-time) in

Page 1



this role. Investment time (often referred to as "non-billable") for good management must be highly valued. In firms with strong practice management, between 10 percent and 50 percent of a PGL's individual compensation is based on how well he or she performs the job and the size and type of group he or she is managing. A practice group leader's contribution to the firm is not measured simply by his or her non-billable time on practice group management. Success in the role is a key factor.

There must be incentives for partners to contribute to group activities instead of focusing on their individual practices. Most significantly, a portion of the partner's compensation should be based on the contribution to his or her group. There should be an expectation that all group members contribute to group goals and activities (In many firms, this is approximately 300 investment hours per lawyer). Providing this incentive poses one of the most difficult challenges because their compensation systems primarily focus on individual origination and production. However, some firms today are making fast strides away from individualistic systems toward a practice group-driven system. Partners are even penalized for a purely individualistic focus that undermines group activity. Firm management needs to define for the lawyers what constitutes valuable non-billable or investment time. This can include client relationship management, matter management, firm and practice management, new product development, target client plans and implementation, credibility builders (e.g., well-placed articles and keynote speeches), pro bono matters, professional development and training, associate mentoring and associate supervision and training.

Second, Practice Group Leaders must have input into the compensation of all members of their group. Again, organizational psychologists will tell you that managers or leaders will not be effective in their jobs without having input into the compensation of the people they manage. In most law firms currently implementing strong practice group management, the Practice Group Leaders have lengthy meetings with firm management, providing detailed input about each of their people. On the other side, each partner, in his or her compensation interview, is asked about the colleagues he or she worked with firmwide and, particularly in the group, as well as the Practice Group Leader.

Third, Practice Group Leaders should be involved in the post-compensation feedback sessions for each partner in their group. It is not feasible for Practice Group Leaders to manage their people without knowing what messages firm management is giving them at compensation time.

Partner Buy-In

The third element is partner buy-in, or, as some firms describe it, a "willingness to be led or managed." This becomes even more critical if the firm does not have (1) a clear strategy or (2) a compensation system that promotes the desired behaviors to implement the firm's strategy and support practice management.

Partners must accept the importance of management, both at the firm level and at the practice level. Unless the individual partners are willing to relinquish some of their autonomy and accept individual and group accountability, effective practice group management will be impractical.

Partners in the firm must value the management of the firm and its practices, and be willing to put the interests of the firm and their practice group above their own. In some instances, lawyers will be required to sacrifice what is in their best interest for the greater good of the firm or the group. Partners must function like "owners" and major contributors of the group. This demands a significant contribution of investment (non-billable) time to the firm in the form of associate management, recruiting, training and development, research and development, business development, knowledge management, among other things. In many firms, partners are expected to devote about 700 hours a year in addition to billable time. They are also expected to integrate their practices into that of the firm.

Support from Management

Practice management is rarely effective without real support from firm management, rather than mere lip



service. This means:

The members of firm management who decide compensation must value effective management of the practices, and

Firm management must spend time providing guidance to the practice group leaders on firm strategy and holding them accountable for their group's activities and performance.

Members of firm management must be role models for the behavior they want their partners to exhibit.

Authority and Responsibility

The role or job description of your practice group leaders needs to be defined and communicated clearly and widely. It is amazing how many law firms set up practice groups and even appoint leaders, yet have no clear job description detailing what the Practice Group Leaders should do strategically and operationally. Some cynical types might argue that the lack of job descriptions--an articulation of what is expected--was a way to "avoid being managed" or not be accountable.

A key characteristic of successful organizations is role clarity--people know what is expected and what the "roles" are.

Thus, PGLs need a clear job description that vests real authority, including significant input into the compensation determinations of their group members. At a minimum, PGLs must have authority in the areas of intake; workload management and staffing; profitability, pricing and budgeting; and planning and business development.

Then, firm management must appoint as PGLs strong individuals who are willing and able to put their role as Practice Group Leader above their personal practice and who will be accountable for the success of the group. Just as a managing partner must treat the firm as his or her most important client, the group should be the most important client to the PGL. Practice Group members should not elect their respective PGLs because it typically results in the selection of those who are the most popular, not the most effective.

Firmwide Practice Groups

The seventh element required for strong practice management is having firmwide, not office-by-office, practice groups and primary assignments. Office-oriented practice groups, such as a real estate group in the New York office, typically result in internal competition, balkanization and a lower external market profile.

In addition, all lawyers should have one primary practice group assignment. This is critical to internal accountability, group performance (i.e., group members contribute significant time to their primary group) and in the long term, market position. In most firms, lawyers can also have one or more secondary groups. The number depends on the firm's desired market position (being known as experts externally), the number of practices the firm offers and what they are (i.e., how much synergy there is between practices, how insulated they are from economic or other downturns) and how sophisticated and specialized the work the firm handles is. In some firms, the associates are given a primary assignment in the first one to three years to a department, rather than a practice group.

Conclusion

If your firm implements each of these key elements, practice management can enable you to achieve many important benefits and, in particular, can provide your firm with significant competitive advantages vis-a-vis its competitors.

Page 3



Interested in Learning More About Legal Hiring? Read the Definitive Guide:

How to Hire a Legal Recruiter for Your Law Firm: How Law Firms Recruit Attorneys Using Legal Recruiters